## PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.



Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>, Cisco Webex Code:15857-23975 Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)

Shri Madan Lal S/o Shri Om Parkash, Jain Niwas, MCB Zone-2, New H.No.10803, Street No.18, Prinda Road 18, Guru Teg Bahadur Nagar, Bathinda.

...Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer** 

O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority** 

O/o Inspector General of Police, Faridkot.

....Respondents

## AC No. 1842 of 2022

**Present:** (i) Shri Madan Lal, Appellant, in person.

(ii) None on behalf of the PIO/respondent.

## <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The RTI application is dated 11.08.2018 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 07.02.2022 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 06.04.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
- 2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 07.06.2022. Accordingly, the case has been heard today. Shri Madan Lal, appellant comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench. He states that information has been received by him which is not attested by the PIO.
- 3. Neither the PIO has come present in person nor through cisco webex nor he has deputed any of his representatives to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO. Shri Manjit Singh, ASI, dealing with RTI matters is contacted on mobile phone. He makes reference to the letter dated 04.06.2022 sent to the Commission and states that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letters dated 01.09.2018, 14.09.2018, 04.03.2022, 25.03.2022 and he has also been supplied copies of Challan presented in the court in connection with FIR no.09 dated 25.01.2019.

Cont..P-2

AC No.1842/2022

receipt of any information. Then he is also made to talk to the representative of the PIO, who

-2-

The appellant is apprised of the version of representative of PIO but he denies

explains to the appellant the details of information sent from time to time. Since the appellant

denies receipt of requisite information, it is enquired from him if he could visit the office of PIO for

inspection of records, but he refuses to do so. The PIO is now directed to again send the entire

information to the appellant duly attested by the PIO by registered post.

5. The Bench also directs the PIO, O/o SSP Sri Muktsar Sahib to file an affidavit on

non judicial stamp paper within 21 (Twenty one) days duly signed by the PIO and attested by the

Notary Public to the effect that the information sought by the appellant as available in the official

records in AC No.1842/2022 has been supplied to the appellant and that nothing beyond the

information supplied is available in the official records. It be further stated that nothing has been

concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. Original affidavit be sent to the

appellant and copy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the appeal case is disposed off and closed.

Copies of the orders be sent to the concerned parties.

Sd/-

**Chandigarh 07.06.2022** 

4.

(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma, (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab